February 5th, 1992

## PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. 6.

West Hill, Ontario

Dear Mr. G.

At its hearing on Tuesday, February 4th, 1992 the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

 That on or about March 15th, 1989 you did falsify an academic record and made use of such falsified record contrary to Section E.1.(c) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, you submitted a petition to the Scarborough College Sub-Committee on Standing asking for special consideration on the grounds of ill health, in which you falsely stated that you were ill with severe pneumonia from the last week in January until March 1st, 1989, when in fact you returned to the University or were capable of returning to the University in early February 1989.

2. That on or about March 13th, 1989 you used an unauthorized aid or obtained unauthorized assistance in connection with your petition to the Scarborough College Sub-Committee on Standing for special consideration on the grounds of illness, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, in support of your petition you provided a medical report from your physician dated March 13th, 1989 which you knew to contain false information concerning the length of your illness, which information you had provided to the physician.

Based on the evidence provided, the jury accepted your plea of guilty to the charges and imposed the following sanctions:

- a grade of zero in the course JCSB27Y;
- · a censure; and
- that the censure be recorded on your academic transcript for a period of two years.

The jury gave the following reasons for their decision: "We concur with the recommendations put forward by [both] counsel. We recognize that it's quite a severe problem and an error in judgement but that's really what we looked at it as - a severe error in judgement. The mitigating factors that were mentioned, we all appreciate that they are important factors and should be considered. So, as a group, unanimously, we agree with the recommendations. We commend the fact that counsel was able to get together and agree on this thing. It's a very good approach and I wish it could happen more often."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section L of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is March 6th, 1992.

Yours truly,

Dominique Petersen Secretary University Tribunal

## DP\*np

cc:

P. D. Jackson

- J. I. Laskin
- G. Leslie
- J. E. Foley
- D. B. Cook
- M. E. Irwin